Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/827,500	CHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) *Fred I. Ehichioya.*

(3) _____.

(2) *Kenneth F. Kozik (Reg. No. 36,572).*

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *09/11/2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-15 and 17-30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

SHAHID ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)   _____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative Mr. Kenneth Kozik to combine claim 2 to claim 1 then cancel claim 2, combine claim 20 to claim 14 then cancel claim 20, combine claim 20 to claims 29 and 30. Mr. Kozik agreed with the examiner and at the same time agreed to cancel claims 5, 6, 23 and 24. Examiner also suggested to Mr. Kozik to insert "computer implemented" on all the independent method claims to take care of the non-status issues and Mr. Kozik agreed.